



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231'
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,137	07/25/2000	Pramod K. Srivastava	8449-123-999	8478
20583	7590 12/31/2002		<u> </u>	·
PENNIE AN	PENNIE AND EDMONDS		EXAM	INER
	JE OF THE AMERICAS	,	YAEN, CHRI	STOPHER H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	4.0
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			11				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/625,137	SRIVASTAVA, PRAMOD K.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher H Yaen	1642				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sneet with the	Correspond				
P riod for	Reply	VIC SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r earne	PREPLY CONTROL STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing days and the provided period for reply will.	l36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	May 2002 .					
1)⊠	OF/ T	hic action is non-tillidi.					
2a)⊠	This action is a first ter	the formal matters	prosecution as to the merits is				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is resolution as to the merits is 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>1-14,17-23 and 64-76</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
4)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is less allowed						
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l/or election requirement.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	tion Papers	iner.					
9)[_] The specification is objected to by the Exami] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	rented or b) I objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a/_ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection is	is: a) approved b) disa	pproved by the Examiner.				
11)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be not in the proposed by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in the Fxaminer.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and a second							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	en institution	aente have been received in APP	lication No				
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.						
	application from the international feet of the certified copies not received.						
	to demonst is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 0.3.0. § 110(6) (15 a.p.						
15)	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do 	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.				
	ment(s)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
1 (Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 🖂	Information Diocetters and the second		Part of Paper No. 12				



Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The examiner of the application has changed. This case has now been transferred as of 12-27-2002. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Christopher Yaen, Group Art Unit 1642.
- 2. The amendment filed 5/28/2002 (paper no. 10) is acknowledged and entered into the record. Accordingly, claims 15 and 16 are canceled without prejudice and claims 64-76 are newly added. Therefore claims 1-14, 17-23, and 64-76 are pending and examined on the record.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statement filed 5/28/2002 (paper no. 8) is acknowledged and considered. A signed copy of the IDS is attached hereto.

Inventorship

4. In view of the papers filed 8/13/2002, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(c). The inventorship of this application has been changed by adding Robert J. Binder to the inventorship.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Claim Rejections Withdrawn - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

Art Unit: 1642

5. The rejection of claims 1, 13-14, and 17 as it pertains to the terms or phrases "receptor activity", receptor expression", "analogs and derivatives", "ability to interact" is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims and the persuasive arguments filed by the applicant.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

6. The rejections of claim 1 newly added claim 68 and dependent claims thereof, under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph as being indefinite in the recitation of the phrase "receptor mediated process", is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that there are numerous examples in the specification that would teach one of skill in the art how to measure receptor activity or expression. However, the alpha 2 macroglobulin receptor has many other functions that are not associated with the interaction with hsps, surely, the interaction of hsps with the a2m receptor does not regulate or modulate the function of other "receptor mediated processes". As such the term is still indefinite and reads on other functions not associated with the HSP-alpha 2 macroglobulin binding complex.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

Claims 1-14, 17-23, and 64-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The written description in this case only sets forth alpha 2 macroglobulin and therefore the written



Art Unit: 1642

description is not commensurate in scope with the claims which read on binding fragments thereof.

Vas-Cath Inc. V. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 115).

With the exception of alpha 2 macroglobulin, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed structure of the encompassed variants or binding fragmente and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and a reference to a potential method of isolating it. The amino acid sequence itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ 2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Lts.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016. Although these court findings are drawn to DNA art, the findings are clearly applicable to the claimed proteins.

Furthermore, although drawn specifically drawn to the DNA art the findings of *The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly* (43 USPQ2d 1398-1412) are clearly applicable to the instant rejection. The court held that a generic statement which defines a genus of nucleic acids by only their functional activity does not provide an



Art Unit: 1642

adequate written description of the genus. The court indicated that while Applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus, the description of a genus is achieved by the recitation of a representative number of DNA molecules, usually defined by a nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B(1), the court states that "An adequate written description of a DNA...'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties', not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention".

No disclosure, beyond the mere mention of binding fragments is made in the specification. This is insufficient to support the generic claims as provided by the Interim Written Description Guidelines published in the June 15, 1998 Federal Register at Volume 63, Number 114, pages 32639-32645.

Therefore only an isolated alpha 2 macroglobulin receptor meets the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1642

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 703-305-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642

December 29, 2002

ANTHÒNY C. CAPUTA PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600